

FINANCIAL INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS UNIT

FIAU Risk Assessment Scoring Methodology

Elena Tabone Financial Intelligence Analysis Unit

Compliance and Supervision Platform for Assessing Risk (CASPAR)



- Allows the FIAU to gather information from multiple sources.
- Translates the information gathered from multiple sources into the numerical scoring of risk indicators.



• The Residual Risk Rating will then determine the frequency and level of supervision.

Enhanced FIAU Risk Assessment Scoring Methodology




Type and Level of Supervision

Risk assessments form the basis for the development of a supervisory strategy for each subject person and for the sector as a whole.

Variety of Data Sources: The revised methodology utilizes data that derives from 7 different sources. The information obtained from each of such data source is

weighted and scored and contributes to the overall subject person risk score.



updated in real time.

FIAU Risk Assessment Framework



	Block 1 – Subject Person Self- Assessment	Block 2 – Supervisor Assessment: (MFSA or MGA)	Block 3 – FIAU Analysis Section Feedback	Block 4 – Compliance Review	Block 5 – SNRA	Block 6 – NRA	Final Scores/ Ratings	Block 7 – Adverse Information
Inputs to IR/CE		IR	IR	IR	IR	IR	Final IR Score & Rating	Any material, adverse information
	CE	CE	CE	CE	CE	CE	Final CE Score & Rating	
							Final RR Rating	

Block 1: Subject Person Information

	Financial Intelligence Analysis Unit Risk Evaluation Questionnaire	Designated Non Financ Auditors and Accounta	cial Businesses and Professions Sector nts (Legal Persons)	r		
	General remarks from the subject person:]			
	As indicated in the Guidance for Completion of the Anti-Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of Terrorism Risk Evaluation Questionnaire, sole partitioners and entities should, for each of the questions included in this questionnaire, choose the answer option that is best suited to them / their internal organization. The FIAU acknowledges that the answer options defined by it do not always fully capture the actual situation within each subject person. When choosing from the answer options available, it is therefore important to select an option that is the reflection of your actual situation / the actual situation within your entity and that can be justified later on. In the text box, you can formulate general remarks on the answers submitted by you / your entity. Rease note that these general remarks are not taken into account in the initial, automated analysis of your / your entity's answers.					
1	Subject Person Information					
1.01	Please select the legal formation of your entity.		Public Limited Company / Private Limited Company Partnership / Commercial Partnership / Seil-employ of a Foreign entity / Association / Other			
1.02	If "Other", please specify. Please select the status of your entity.	[Text] / Not Applicable Subsidiary of a foreign traded company / Subsidiary of a privately held foreign company / Subsidiary of a local traded company / Subsidiary of a privately held local company / Privately held foreign company / Privately held local company / Other				
1.04	If "Other", please specify.	[Text] / Not Applicable				
1.05	Please indicate total annual turnover according to the lates statements and / or tax declaration.	E] / Not Applicable				
1.06	Please provide the year end reference date for the financia indicated above.	I statements or / tax declaration as	[Date] / Not Applicable			
1.07	Please indicate the total number of employees (including p associates and staff), expressed in full time equivalents ("F) at the end of the prior calendar year.	[Number] / Not Applicable				
1.08	How many years experience in this industry do you / does t or director(s) and / or senior management of your entity ha		10 or more years / Between 5-9 years / Between 3-5 years / Between 1-3 years / Less than 1 year			

Each Topic in the REQ has a weighting assigned to it: All inherent risk topics add up to 100% and the same for All control effectiveness topics

The weight assigned signifies the importance of the topic in the overall risk algorithm

Each question also has a score which signals the importance of the question within each topic

Each answer has a score assigned to it which signals the impact such answer has on the question being asked



Overall Methodology

Overall Questions score as a weighted average of the Topic Score

Overall score assigned for the topic

Block 2: Prudential Regulator / Regulatory Body








Prudential risk assessment score

Information on prudential reviews carried out





Compliance culture



Significant changes



Levels of risk

Block 3: FIAU Intelligence Analysis





What we consider





Quality of the STRs submitted




Replies to request for information

Monitoring Orders

Block 4: Supervision



What we consider



Block 4: Enforcement







Committee Meeting

What we consider

Relevance and quality of representations







Recommendations to other authorities

Issuance of directives

Quality of any remedial measures taken by the subject person



Block 6: National Risk Assessment

RISK

DENTH

ASSES

INDERSTAN



The results of the latest national risk assessment can be found on the website of the Ministry for Finance and are accessible through the following link:

https://mfin.gov.mt/en/Library/Documents/Result_of_the_N RA_2018.pdf

NRA Rating Scores FIAU Risk Assessment Methodology Final NRA rating Per Sector and per subject person

Block 7: Adverse information



Adverse information is any information that adversely reflects on the integrity of the operations of a subject person, which suggests that the subject person's ability to effectively comply with all AML/CFT safeguards may be impaired, or otherwise information that negatively impacts the AML/CFT reputation of a subject person through its involvement whether knowingly or otherwise in anomalous or suspicious transactions or operations



Adverse information is analysed

Adverse

Team


information is received by the Risk for adverse information is determined

Risk criteria

Change in risk requests are carried out and risk is subsequently increased


Summary: Risk Assessment Scoring Methodology





The FIAU's Risk Assessment Process is ongoing





Key Takeouts













Sli.do event code: J782

Wifi User: IC Meeting Password: meeting247



FINANCIAL INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS UNIT



65C, Tower Street, Birkirkara BKR 4012, Malta

T. (+356) 21 231 333 F. (+356) 21 231 090 E. info@fiumalta.org W. fiumalta.org